

Comments on Funding Packages of TSEP Projects and Potential Problems

Town of Circle, Project No. 6

- ☐ The Town did not receive the CDBG grant they applied for.

Town of Jordan, Project No. 8

- ☐ The Town was awarded a \$121,320 CDBG grant, instead of the \$450,000 requested. However, the town will be able to apply again to CDBG next year in an attempt to obtain the remainder of the \$450,000.

Town of Twin Bridges, Project No. 10

- ☐ The town has not yet applied for the \$450,000 CDBG grant or the \$850,000 STAG grant.

Seeley Lake-Missoula County Water District, Project No. 11

- ☐ The District has not yet applied for a \$1 million STAG grant.

Town of Loma, Project No. 16

- ☐ The proposed matching funds is a \$1.2 million STAG grant that has not yet been recommended for a Congressional appropriation.

Carter Chouteau County Water and Sewer District, Project No. 20

- ☐ The proposed matching funds is a \$750,000 STAG grant that has not yet been recommended for a Congressional appropriation.

Dayton/Lake County Water and Sewer District, Project No. 22

- ☐ Over \$3.9 million dollars of the proposed matching funds is from a STAG grant and a WRDA grant that have not yet been recommended for a Congressional appropriation. The Department has concerns about whether the District can achieve its funding package.

Power-Teton County Water and Sewer District, Project No. 25

- ☐ A portion of the proposed matching funds is a \$101,428 WRDA grant that the applicant applied for in 2005. The status of the application is not known.

RAE Subdivision County Water and Sewer District, Project No. 27

- ☐ The Town was awarded a \$121,320 CDBG grant, instead of the \$450,000 requested. However, the town will be able to apply again to CDBG next year in an attempt to obtain the remainder of the \$450,000.

City of Three Forks, Project No. 33

- ☐ A portion of the proposed matching funds is a \$1.35 million STAG grant that has not yet been recommended for a Congressional appropriation.

City of Cut Bank, Project No. 35

- A portion of the proposed matching funds is a \$450,000 STAG grant that has not yet been recommended for a Congressional appropriation.

Town of Whitehall, Project No. 36

- A portion of the proposed matching funds is a \$450,000 STAG grant that has not yet been recommended for a Congressional appropriation. The Town also did not receive the CDBG grant they applied for.

Crow Tribe – Crow Agency, Project No. 37

- The proposed matching funds is a \$1.8 million STAG grant that has not yet been recommended for a Congressional appropriation.

Town of Big Sandy, Project No. 38

- The Town did not receive the CDBG grant they applied for.

Town of Fairfield, Project No. 39 (tie)

- More than half of the proposed matching funds is from a \$1 million STAG grant. The town was being recommended for \$500,000 in the bill before Congress, but the likelihood of that funding is uncertain.

City of Hamilton, Project No. 39 (tie)

- A portion of the proposed matching funds is a \$1 million STAG grant that has not yet been recommended for a Congressional appropriation. The Town also did not receive the CDBG grant they applied for.

Gallatin County (Hebgen Lake Estates), Project No. 41

- A portion of the proposed matching funds is an \$850,000 STAG grant that has not yet been recommended for a Congressional appropriation.

Brady County Water District, Project No. 46

- A portion of the proposed matching funds is a \$1.26 million STAG grant that has not yet been applied for. The District also did not receive the \$500,000 CDBG grant they applied for.

Town of Darby, Project No. 49

- A portion of the proposed matching funds is a \$3.16 million WRDA grant that has not yet been recommended for a Congressional appropriation. The Town also did not receive the CDBG grant they applied for. The Department has concerns about whether the Town can obtain a WRDA grant that is that large.

Butte-Silver Bow County, Project No. 51

- A large portion of the proposed matching funds is a Natural Resource Damage Program grant. However, only \$1.8 million was awarded for this year.

City of Columbia Falls, Project No. 52

- A portion of the proposed matching funds is a \$1 million STAG grant that has not yet been recommended for a Congressional appropriation.

Missoula County (Lolo), Project No. 57

- The Department did not recommend a grant, since the community's rates are well below the target rate even without any assistance.
- A portion of the proposed matching funds is a \$1 million STAG grant that has not yet been recommended for a Congressional appropriation.